

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/672,038	09/29/2000	Bernhard Dehmer	4481-028	3016
7.	590 01/28/2002			
Lowe Hauptman Gopstein Gilman & Berner LLP			EXAMINER	
1700 Diagonal Road Suite 310 Alexandria, VA 22314			FOX, JOHN C	
		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		3753		
			DATE MAILED: 01/28/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No. 09/6 12 0 38	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	Examiner (MX	Group Art Unit 3 753
—The MAILING DATE of this communication a	ppears on the cover sheet i	beneath the correspondence address—
Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS S OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	SET TO EXPIRE	MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by d Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, b 	rs, a reply within the statutory mining lefault, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from	mum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
Status	, ,	
Responsive to communication(s) filed on	12/13/01	
This action is FINAL .	,	
 Since this application is in condition for allowance ex accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle 		
Disposition of Claims		
XClaim(s) 2-3, 5	-11, 14-48	is/are pending in the application.
•		is/are withdrawn from consideration
☐ Claim(s)		is/are allowed.
XClaim(s) 2-3, 5-7	1, 14-48	is/are rejected.
☐ Claim(s)		is/are objected to.
□ Claim(s)		are subject to restriction or election requirement.
Application Papers		requirement.
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Di	rawing Review, PTO-948.	
The proposed drawing correction, filed on /2//3	is Sapproved	☐ disapproved.
	objected to by the Examiner.	

Attachment(s)

☐ received.

☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)_

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)

*Cortified copies not received:____

☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). ☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413

☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d).

☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been

☐ received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).

☐ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892 ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-1-2

☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948 ☐ Other_____

Office Action Summary

Serial Number: 09/672038 -2-

Art Unit: 3753

This action is responsive to the communication filed December 13, 2001.

The replacement specification is noted with appreciation.

The drawings are objected to under 37 C.F.R. § 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the tapered sealing element recited in the claims must be shown or the feature cancelled from the claim. No new matter should be entered.

Claims 2-3, 5-11 and 14-48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In the recitation "being at a free end of an actuator" in claim 15, line 8 it is unclear what is at the free end. There is no proper antecedent basis for "the opposing shut-off surfaces of the valve body" in claim 15, lines 8-9 and "the outlet that opposes the free end of the actuator" in lines 10-11. The recitation in claim 15, lines 8-11 is inaccurate, and thus indefinite, in that it calls for both valve seats to narrow toward the one outlet.

The recitation in claim 16, lines 9-10 of "the sealing element assigned to seal the first outlet" is unclear in that it suggests there is more than one sealing element, and the recitation in claim 16, lines 9-11 is inaccurate and indefinite

Serial Number: 09/672038 -3-

Art Unit: 3753

in that it calls for the sealing element to rest on both seats in one position.

In claim 17, there is no proper antecedent basis for "the outlet ports" in lines 3-4 in that only one port is recited earlier, "the passage interior surface" in line 9, "the tapered sealing surface" in line 17 and "the sealing element sealing surface" in lines 19-20. The recitation in lines 10-13 "(b) a second ... outlet ports" is inaccurate and indefinite in that it calls for the sealing element to seal both seats in one position.

Claim 29 incudes similar §112 problems.

The action on the merits of the claims hereafter is made to the extent that the claims are understood.

Subject matter in the claims which is indefinite, ie. that is subject to more than one interpretation, is given that interpretation which renders it subject to rejection on the prior art, provided that the issues involved can be reasonably understood. Grammatical and typographical errors and recitations without proper antecedent basis of a minor nature, such as the addition or omission of an adjectival modifier, will be interpreted as if they had been corrected, provided that the correction is reasonably apparent.

Claims which are not treated on their merits hereafter are deemed to be so informal as to preclude a reasonable comparison to the Prior Art in that the meaning of the terms of the claims

Serial Number: 09/672038 -4-

Art Unit: 3753

and thus the content and scope of the claims cannot be determined with a reasonable degree of certainty.

This will be the case where the claims include subject matter which is more seriously indefinite, unclear or inadequately supported. For example, where an indefinite recitation is compounded by reference to another indefinite recitation, where there is a multiplicity of indefinite recitations, where there are numerous and repetitive formal errors or where the essential distinguishing feature or features of the invention, ie. the point of novelty, is indefinite, it would require considerable speculation to arrive at a determination of the scope and content of the claim.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new grounds of rejection. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See M.P.E.P. § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a).

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE TO THIS FINAL ACTION IS SET TO EXPIRE THREE MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS ACTION. IN THE EVENT A FIRST RESPONSE IS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION AND THE ADVISORY ACTION IS NOT MAILED UNTIL AFTER THE END OF THE THREE-MONTH SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD, THEN THE SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WILL EXPIRE ON THE DATE THE ADVISORY ACTION IS MAILED, AND ANY EXTENSION FEE PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a) WILL BE CALCULATED FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THE ADVISORY ACTION. IN NO EVENT WILL THE STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE EXPIRE LATER THAN SIX MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION.

Serial Number: 09/672038 -5-

Art Unit: 3753

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Examiner Fox at (703) 308-2595 or John.Fox@uspto.gov. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0861. The fax number for Art Unit 3753 is (703) 308-7765. The Supervisory Primary Examiner for Art Unit 3753 is Michael Buiz who can be reached at (703) 308-2580 or at Michael.Buiz@uspto.gov.

JOHN FOX PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 3753

jcf January 22, 2002